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## Editor's Remarks

Dear Colleagues,
The Campaign now has 55 registered members from 18 countries plus 25 signed up for the Epsilon Aurigae Campaign Yahoo List Forum.

We are now into totality. I have done some preliminary linear regression calculations to determine first and second contact times. These times assume a linear ingress and are dependent on the average out-of-eclipse and average Totality magnitudes. For the average out-of-eclipse magnitudes data from HPO from December 2003 to April 2009 were used. For the average Totality magnitudes, data from the 1982-1984 eclipse were used. For more accurate second contact times we will need to get more data to determine an average totality magnitude. I do not have sufficient data for determining contact times in the RIJH bands.

## RJD Dates are JD - 2,400,000

## First Contact Estimations

Out-of-Eclipse Averages are assumed to be:

$$
\mathrm{V}=3.0360 \quad \mathrm{~B}=3.6050 \quad \mathrm{U}=3.7264
$$

From the Linear Regression Calculation, First Contact:
V Band = 55,056.345 12 August 2009
B Band =55,054.465 10 August 2009
U Band $=55,054.20810$ August 2009

## Second Contact Estimations (Preliminary)

Totality Averages are assumed to be:

$$
\mathrm{V}=3.746 \mathrm{~B}=4.305 \quad \mathrm{U}=4.516
$$

These magnitudes will be updated when we have more totality data.
From the Linear Regression Calculation, Second Contact:
V Band $=55,213.003 \quad 16$ January 2010
B Band =55,214.268 17 January 2010
U Band $=55,237.185 \quad 09$ February 2010


Jeff Hopkins, Editor
Hopkins Phoenix Observatory
phxjeff@hposoft.com

## IMPORTANT NOTICES

## Data Copyright

Data in this and other Newsletters and on the Campaign web site are provided for viewing and downloading. Use of any data in any papers requires approval from the observer(s). Please contact me at phxjeff@hposoft.com or the specific observer(s) for more information and permission.

## Standard Deviation versus Standard Error

There has been some discussion about whether to use standard deviation or standard error when reporting photometric observational data.

It is preferred that photometric observations include a standard deviation of at least three data points for each observed band for the session. The purpose is not to report an error, which is actually not what is important, but to give an idea of the quality of the observation and an idea of the data spread. That is all it does and all that it needs to do.

Standard error is the standard deviation divided by the square root of the number of samples. By have a large number of samples the standard can be much less than the standard deviation, yet the data spread can be the same. These means that while the standard error may look very good and much better than someone else's standard deviation, it is very misleading.

Please submit photometric data as an average of at least three data points with a standard deviation of the data. Thank you!

## Yahoo Epsilon Aurigae Chat List Forum

We have start a chat list forum to enhance our communications. Lots of interesting things are happening and many time dependent. The Epsilon Aurigae Chat list will allow near instantaneous communication with everyone who is interested in the project. It's free and to sign up just go to

## http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/EpsilonAurigae/

and sign up to stay abreast of the latest developments.

# Photometry and Shooting Precision versus Accuracy <br> Jeff Hopkins <br> Hopkins Phoenix Observatory 

I like to shoot and even reload my own ammunition. I find an interesting comparison between shooting and photometry.

When I first get a rifle (photometer) I must get familiar with it and understand how everything works. I also must sight-in the rifle (calibrate the photometer).

One of the first things I do is put the rifle in a gun vise and shoot at a target. This is to eliminate the human factor. I aim at the center of the bull and shoot three groups of 3 shots ( 5 shots if you can afford the extra ammunition) for each type of ammunition I am considering using with the rifle. Even though the ammunition is all the same caliber there can be different weight and bullet designs, and different powder and amounts of powder. If this is done at an outdoor range, one must wait for a calm day with no wind or only shoot when the wind has died down.

## Precision

Upon completing the initial firings I will have target with sets of three holes, three sets for each type of ammunition. At this point it is not important where on the target I hit, just the size of the hole groupings. I then note the ammunition type, the maximum spread of each set, minimum spread and average spread. Then for the three sets for each ammunition type I calculate a standard deviation. It can be quickly seen that some ammunition is much more precise than others in this gun. The results is an indication of the precision of the rifle. This is like calibrating a photometer and photometric data. This is also how gun magazines do it.

## Accuracy

Next comes the actual sighting-in. After selecting the ammunition, I use only that type. Still using the gun vise, I repeat the 3 shots and note where on the target relative to the center of the bull I hit. I then adjust the sights to move the group toward the center of the bull. Once I have the sights adjust for consistent hit near the center of the target's bullseye, the gun is ready (calibrated). It now has the best accuracy.

## Use

The next test is to fire the rifle myself. Here is where my skill comes in. If I do not hit the bullseye, it will not be the rifle's fault. This is where practice and procedure come into play. To be considered a rifleman, one must be able to consistently hit a man-sized target at a distance of 500 yards. There are some rifleman who can consistently hit the same size target at 1,000 yards and further. I am not one of them.

## Photometry

I think you can see some parallels with photometry. When you get your photometer you must become familiar with it and do some testing. Depending on the type of photometer, you must calibrate dead time, amplifier gains, and determine the maximum exposure when the data stays linear. You must then also determine the color transformation coefficients and zero points. Nightly you must determine the extinction coefficients. Once you have all that done you are ready to take serious data. You have a calibrated system that is capable of both precision and accuracy.
For single channel photometry (photon counting and analog, e.g., SSP-3, SSP-4 photometers), take three sets of each color and star + sky data, then sky data. Three sets of program star data all
bracketed by comparison star data is taken. Data is reduced to provide 4 comparison star magnitudes for each color , each bracketing program star magnitudes. Now differential magnitudes are calculated and normalized to the standard comparison star magnitude. The resulting three program star magnitude for each color are then averaged and a standard deviation calculated.

## Photometric Precision

The reported standard deviation is an indication of the data's precision. The better the condition, your procedure and the behavior of your equipment will determine the precision. Just as with some rifles, some photometers will be more precise than others. Remember, the standard deviation is like a report on how good the bullet grouping are. It says nothing about accuracy or how close to the bullseye you are.

## Note:

There has been discussion about whether to use standard deviation or standard error. It is customary with photometry to use standard deviation and not standard error. Standard error is just the standard deviation divided by the square root of the number of samples (or observations), The whole purpose is to give an idea of the data spread or precision of the data. With standard error you can have a poor standard deviation, but by using many observations the standard error goes down. This would then give the appearance of data better than what they really are. Please use standard deviation for your photometric data.

## Photometry Accuracy

With the rifle you have a target with a bullseye so you can easily see how accurate you are. Where is the bullseye with photometry?

The Epsilon Aurigae Campaign presents and interesting and unique situation. Since many observers using different equipment at close to the same time are making observations, the combined data are a good indication for determining the bullseye. If your data are falling in close to the average of the other observer's data then you are achieving good accuracy and you have developed a good photometric skill. If your data are scattered and far from other data taken about the same time, your procedure or skills may need some improvement.

## Conclusion

Remember precision is how close your data points are together (how close the bullet holes are) and accuracy is how close your data are to the actual magnitude (how close the bullet holes are to the center of the bullseye).

Just as with the rifle, if you wish to be accurate you must know your equipment, calibrate it, develop a good procedure and practice.

## Season Photometry V Data Composite Plot



## Season Photometry UB Data Composite Plot

EPSILON AURIGAE B \& U BAND INGRESS
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## Season Photometry RI Data Composite Plot

Epsilon Aurigae R \& I 2009/2010 Ingress
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## Plot Observer Key

GHO - Golden Hill Observatory, Richard Miles, Dorset, England
JBO - Jim Beckmann Observatory, Paul J. Beckmann, Mendota Heights, MN USA
SGGO - S. Giovanni Gatano al Observatory, Tiziano Colombo, Pisa, Italy
DES - Des Loughney, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK
TP - Tom Pearson, Virginia Beach, Virginia USA
HGL - Hans-Goran Lindberg, Skultuna, Sweden
GVO - Grand View Observatory, Brian E. McCandless, Elkton, MD USA
HPO - Hopkins Phoenix Observatory , Jeff Hopkins, Phoenix, Arizona USA
FJM - Frank J. Melillo, Holtsville, NY USA
RES - Stencel/Long, University of Denver, Denver, Colorado USA
LO - Lindarberg Observatory, Snaevarr Gudmundsson, Hafnarfjordur, Iceland
GS - Gerard Samolyk, Greenfield, Wisconsin, USA
TK - Thomas Karlsson, Varaberg Observatory, Sweden
EAO - Elizabeth Observatory of Athens, Iakovos Marios Strikis, Haldrf (Athens) Greece
RLO - Roosbeek Lake Observatory, Hubert Hautecler, Boutersem Brabant, Belgium
JESO - Jalna Education Society Observatory, Dr. Mukund Kurtadikar, Maharashtra, India
Note: RJD is Reduced Julian Date, 2,450,000 has been subtracted from the JD.
Note: Full resolution images of the above plots can be seen at the following links:
V Band Plot: http://www.hposoft.com/Plots09/VFall09.jpg

UB Band Plots: http://www.hposoft.com/Plots09/UBFall09.jpg

RI Band Plots: http://www.hposoft.com/Plotso9/RIFallo9.jpg
Note: The next Newsletter (NL \#17) will list photometric data for the totality. Ingress and preingress data will be archived on the web site.

## Using (B-V) \& (U-B) Values to See OOE Variations



One advantage of the (B-V) data is it shows when the OOE (Out-OF-Eclipse) Variations are affecting the $V$ magnitude. This provides a means to separate the effect of the eclipsing body on the brightness. When the (B-V) increases, the V decreases (gets dimmer) when the (B-V) decreases(lower numerical value) the V magnitude increases (gets brighter). The ingress bump in November 2009 V data is most likely due to the OOE variations. One can see that (B-V) data for that period decreased significantly.

# 2009/2010 Season (Ingress) Photometry Data Summary 

Snaevarr Gudmundsson (Hafnarfjordur, Iceland)<br>Lindarberg Observatory (LO)

Location (WGS 84) Latitude: +64d 03.740 Longitude: 21d 55.297
Optec SSP-3 on 12" Meade LX 200

| Double Date |  | RJD | V | \# | SD | x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/11 | April 2009 | 4927.4696 | 2.965 | 4 | 0.049 | 1.61 |
| 15/16 | April 2009 | 4933.5003 | 2.975 | 4 | 0.021 | 1.87 |
| 27/28 | August 2009 | 5071.5463 | 3.065 | 4 | 0.007 | 1.86 |
| 29/30 | August 2009 | 5073.6379 | 3.080 | 4 | 0.014 | 1.36 |
| 08/09 | September 2009 | 5083.6001 | 3.113 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.40 |
| 18/19 | September 2009 | 5093.5748 | 3.183 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.57 |
| 28/29 | September 2009 | 5103.4978 | 3.220 | 3 | 0.005 | 1.80 |
| 18/19 | October 2009 | 5123.5143 | 3.332 | 3 | 0.052 | 1.32 |
| 01/02 | November 2009 | 5137.4657 | 3.423 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.35 |
| 07/08 | November 2009 | 5143.5305 | 3.426 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.15 |
| 14/19 | November 2009 | 5150.5633 | 3.456 | 3 | 0.015 | 1.09 |
| 21/22 | November 2009 | 5157.5641 | 3.433 | 3 | 0.032 | 1.08 |
| 22/23 | November 2009 | 5158.5932 | 3.470 | 3 | 0.020 | 1.07 |
| 23/24 | November 2009 | 5159.5215 | 3.470 | 3 | 0.010 | 1.11 |
| 25/26 | November 2009 | 5161.4664 | 3.493 | 3 | 0.015 | 1.18 |
| 29/30 | November 2009 | 5165.4386 | 3.512 | 3 | 0.004 | 1.22 |
| 01/02 | December 2009 | 5167.5148 | 3.528 | 3 | 0.005 | 1.09 |
| 03/04 | December 2009 | 5169.5317 | 3.538 | 3 | 0.004 | 1.08 |
| 06/07 | December 2009 | 5172.4310 | 3.563 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.19 |
| 14/15 | December 2009 | 5180.4242 | 3.608 | 3 | 0.012 | 1.60 |
| 17/18 | December 2009 | 5183.4477 | 3.647 | 3 | 0.047 | 1.12 |
| 17/18 | December 2009 | 5183.5820 | 3.640 | 3 | 0.000 | 1.08 |
| 18/19 | December 2009 | 5184.5133 | 3.630 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.07 |
| 18/19 | December 2009 | 5184.5762 | 3.630 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.80 |
| 19/20 | December 2009 | 5185.5838 | 3.650 | 3 | 0.030 | 1.09 |
| 20/21 | December 2009 | 5186.5185 | 3.673 | 3 | 0.043 | 1.07 |
| 21/22 | December 2009 | 5187.5361 | 3.664 | 3 | 0.011 | 1.07 |
| 22/23 | December 2009 | 5188.5052 | 3.675 | 3 | 0.017 | 1.07 |
| 25/26 | December 2009 | 5191.4865 | 3.672 | 3 | 0.008 | 1.07 |
| 26/27 | December 2009 | 5192.5244 | 3.677 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.07 |
| 27/28 | December 2009 | 5193.3420 | 3.670 | 3 | 0.000 | 1.27 |
| 28/29 | December 2009 | 5194.4718 | 3.705 | 3 | 0.049 | 1.07 |
| 29/30 | December 2009 | 5195.5133 | 3.680 | 3 | 0.007 | 1.07 |
| 01/02 | January 2010 | 5198.4427 | 3.685 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.08 |
| 02/03 | January 2010 | 5199.3332 | 3.693 | 3 | 0.006 | 1.25 |
| 04/05 | January 2010 | 5201.5401 | 3.680 | 3 | 0.000 | 1.09 |
| 07/08 | January 2010 | 5204.4585 | 3.670 | 3 | 0.008 | 1.07 |
| 11/12 | January 2010 | 5208.3418 | 3.685 | 3 | 0.005 | 1.1 |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000

## Richard Miles

## Golden Hill Observatory (GHO)

Location: Stourton Caundle, Dorset, England
Latitude/Longitude/Altitude (ASL): West 2.405 deg , North 50.931 deg
Time Zone: GMT = o hours
Telescope: 0.06-m Refractor (Takahashi FS60C)
Filters: Johnson V=4.71 for lambda Aurigae, Cousins Ic= 3.99 for HD32655
Detector: CCD Camera (Type: Starlight Xpress SXV-H9)
Note: as of 01 January 2010 all previous data has been corrected. The following data is an updated list of the correct data.

| Observ | on Date | RJD | UT | V mag | SD | Ic | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/12 | May 2009 | 4963.389 | 21:20 | 2.927 | . 025 | - | - |
| 30/31 | May 2009 | 4982.390 | 21:20 | 2.98 | 0.01 | - |  |
| 01/02 | June 2009 | 4984.410 | 21:50 | 3.029 | 0.047 |  |  |
| 07/08 | June 2009 | 4990.420 | 22:11 | 3.014 | 0.019 | - |  |
| 23/24 | June 2009 | 5006.430 | 22:19 | 2.918 | 0.050 |  |  |
| 03/04 | July 2009 | 5016.476 | 23:03 | 2.900 | 0.093 | 2.210 | 0.155 |
| 15/16 | July 2009 | 5028.571 | 02.07 | 3.040 | 0.078 | 2.290 | 0.047 |
| 17/18 | July 2009 | 5030.587 | 01.54 | 3.064 | 0.031 | 2.332 | 0.059 |
| 19/20 | July 2009 | 5032.535 | 01.03 | 3.043 | 0.019 | 2.340 | 0.047 |
| 21/22 | July 2009 | 5034.526 | 00.52 | 3.097 | 0.043 | 2.313 | 0.055 |
| 29/30 | July 2009 | 5042.518 | 01:00 | 3.046 | 0.013 | 2.349 | 0.011 |
| 01/02 | August 2009 | 5045.532 | 00:34 | 3.054 | 0.026 | 2.315 | 0.040 |
| 06/07 | August 2009 | 5050.605 | 02:31 | 3.048 | 0.018 | 2.321 | 0.017 |
| 07/08 | August 2009 | 5051.586 | 02:31 | 3.048 | 0.016 | 2.313 | 0.022 |
| 15/16 | August 2009 | 5059.494 | 23:48 | 3.034 | 0.031 | 2.308 | 0.031 |
| 18/19 | August 2009* | 5062.445 | 22:40 | 3.074 | 0.019 | 2.333 | 0.022 |
| 20/21 | August 2009* | 5064.483 | 23:24 | 3.062 | 0.019 | 2.325 | 0.019 |
| 24/25 | August 2009* | 5068.435 | 22:51 | 3.088 | 0.025 | 2.384 | 0.016 |
| 27/28 | August 2009* | 5071.539 | - | 3.091 | 0.009 | 2.375 | 0.010 |
| 28/29 | August 2009* | 5072.648 | - | 3.085 | 0.031 | 2.370 | 0.012 |
| 04/05 | September 2009 | 5079.438 |  | 3.068 | 0.031 | 2.407 | 0.034 |
| 09/10 | September 2009 | 5084.400 | - | 3.162 | 0.011 | 2.433 | 0.019 |
| 12/13 | September 2009 | 5087.426 |  | 3.169 | 0.010 | 2.456 | 0.017 |
| 14/15 | September 2009 | 5089.397 | - | 3.106 | 0.019 | 2.397 | 0.037 |
| 16/17 | September 2009 | 5091.418 | - | 3.193 | 0.016 | 2.469 | 0.037 |
| 19/20 | September 2009 | 5094.456 | - | 3.214 | 0.056 | 2.458 | 0.047 |
| 21/22 | September 2009 | 5096.418 | - | 3.227 | 0.016 | 2.510 | 0.025 |
| 24/25 | September 2009 | 5099.424 | - | 3.257 | 0.016 | 2.532 | 0.016 |
| 25/26 | September 2009 | 5100.427 | - | 3.263 | 0.012 | 2.534 | 0.012 |
| 26/27 | September 2009 | 5101.432 | - | 3.264 | 0.012 | 2.529 | 0.009 |
| 31/01 | Sept/Oct 2009 | 5105.336 | - | 3.305 | 0.031 | 2.577 | 0.009 |
| 07/08 | October 2009 | 5112.702 | - | 3.325 | 0.012 | 2.600 | 0.009 |
| 08/09 | October 2009 | 5113.401 | - | 3.335 | 0.011 | 2.608 | 0.018 |
| 11/12 | October 2009 | 5116.434 |  | 3.350 | 0.009 | 2.621 | 0.009 |
| 12/13 | October 2009 | 5117.4420 | - | 3.340 | 0.019 | 2.627 | 0.012 |
| 16/17 | October 2009 | 5121.402 | - | 3.371 | 0.016 | 2.635 | 0.006 |
| 20/21 | October 2009 | 2125.586 | - | 3.406 | 0.025 | 2.645 | 0.022 |
| 22/23 | October 2009 | 5127.412 | - | 3.401 | 0.016 | 2.656 | 0.012 |
| 24/25 | October 2009 | 5129.450 | - | 3.403 | 0.012 | 2.665 | 0.009 |
| 01/02 | November 2009 | 5133.522 | - | 3.384 | 0.010 | 2.711 | 0.009 |
| 08/09 | November 2009 | 5144.407 | - | 3.423 | 0.022 | 2.742 | 0.019 |


| 11/12 | November 2009 | 5147.467 | - | 3.432 | 0.025 | 2.750 | 0.009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14/15 | November 2009 | 5150.312 | - | 3.444 | 0.012 | 2.773 | 0.012 |
| 20/21 | November 2009 | 5156.334 | - | 3.482 | 0.016 | 2.784 | 0.009 |
| 23/24 | November 2009 | 5159.304 | - | 3.430 | 0.025 | 2.789 | 0.009 |
| 25/26 | November 2009 | 5161.313 | - | 3.453 | 0.009 | 2.800 | 0.006 |
| 30/01 | Nov/Dec 2009 | 5166.384 | - | 3.490 | 0.007 | 2.832 | 0.006 |
| 03/04 | December 2009 | 5169.469 | - | 3.514 | 0.006 | 2.848 | 0.006 |
| 06/07 | December 2009 | 5172.368 | - | 3.510 | 0.007 | 2.872 | 0.009 |
| 10/11 | December 2009 | 5176.290 | - | 3.586 | 0.053 | 2.897 | 0.037 |
| 17/18 | December 2009 | 5183.333 | - | 3.613 | 0.008 | 2.954 | 0.009 |
| 20/21 | December 2009 | 5186.241 | - | 3.644 | 0.012 | 2.984 | 0.012 |
| 22/23 | December 2009 | 5188.372 | - | 3.645 | 0.014 | 2.978 | 0.009 |
| 25/26 | December 2009 | 5191.328 | - | 3.646 | 0.012 | 2.975 | 0.016 |
| 26/27 | December 2009 | 5192.327 | - | 3.651 | 0.006 | 2.980 | 0.006 |
| 27/28 | December 2009 | 5193.390 | - | 3.664 | 0.004 | 2.999 | 0.006 |
| 01/02 | January 2010 | 5198.361 | - | 3.674 | 0.007 | 3.024 | 0.008 |
| 02/03 | January 2010 | 5199.2320 | - | 3.666 | 0.006 | 3.003 | 0.005 |
| 03/04 | January 2010 | 5200.2770 | - | 3.671 | 0.006 | 3.004 | 0.006 |
| 04/05 | January 2010 | 5201.2850 | - | 3.659 | 0.004 | 3.009 | 0.006 |
| 06/07 | January 2010 | 5203.4090 | - | 3.660 | 0.003 | 3.010 | 0.006 |
| 07/08 | January 2010 | 5204.2550 | - | 3.663 | 0.006 | 3.011 | 0.005 |
| 17/18 | January 2010 | 5214.2600 | - | 3.681 | 0.004 | 3.003 | 0.008 |
| 23/24 | January 2010 | 5220.5460 | - | 3.725 | 0.007 | 3.006 | 0.008 |

RJD $=$ JD $-2,450,000$


Iakovos Marios Stkis, Haldrf (Athens) Greece
Elizabeth Observatory of Athens (EAO)
ATIC Monochrome CCD Camera with 55 mm lens at $\mathbf{f} 6.3$, 30 images, 9 second exposures

| RJD | $\mathbf{V}$ | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5158.2437 | 3.451 | 0.003 |
| 5160.0421 | 3.457 | 0.003 |
| 5163.0245 | 3.495 | 0.003 |
| 5167.0233 | 3.512 | 0.003 |

RJD $=$ JD $-2,450,000$

## Hans-Goran Lindberg

Skultuna, Sweden
Observation using: ( 50 mm fl camera lens, HX-516 B/W Camera, y2-filter
$\operatorname{Exp} 30^{*} 3 \mathrm{sec}$, .fits images stacked, TeleAuto software, with Superstar)
Comp star lambda Aurigae at $\mathrm{V}=4.71$

|  | Rate | RJD | CV |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 19/20 | July 2009 | 5033.4503 | 3.02 |
| $04 / 05$ | August 2009 | 5049.4653 | 3.03 |
| 05/06 | August 2009 | 5050.4944 | 3.02 |
| 07/08 | August 2009 | 5052.4958 | 3.03 |
| 08/09 | August 2009 | 5053.4792 | 3.03 |
| 23/24 | August 2009 | 5068.4799 | 3.05 |
| 29/30 | August 2009 | 5074.4167 | 3.09 |
| 09/10 | September 2009 | 5084.4472 | 3.11 |
| $14 / 15$ | September 20095089.3750 | 3.14 |  |
| $16 / 17$ | September 20095091.4028 | 3.19 |  |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000

## Hubert Hautecler, Roosbeek Lake Observatory (RLO)

Boutersem, Brabant, Belgium
DSLR Camera - Canon 400 D w/85 mm lens
Five sets of 10 images.

| UT Date |  | RJD | v Mag | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13/14 | December 2009 | 5179.3521 | 3.662 | 0.005 |
| 19/20 | December 2009 | 5185.2347 | 3.683 | 0.028 |
| 21/22 | December 2009 | 5186.2271 | 3.633 | 0.023 |
| 23/24 | December 2009 | 5189.2486 | 3.687 | 0.028 |
| 26/27 | December 2009 | 5192.2340 | 3.680 | 0.016 |
| 01/02 | January 2010 | 5198.2493 | 3.684 | 0.020 |
| 03/04 | January 2010 | 5200.2257 | 3.696 | 0.031 |
| 14/15 | January 2010 | 5211.4257 | 3.730 | 0.022 |
| 17/18 | January 2010 | 5214.2354 | 3.719 | 0.009 |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000

Dr Tiziano Colombo, S. Giovanni Gatano al Observatory (SGGO) Pisa, Italy CCD Camera: Mead DSI Pro, 2 sec exposures, 20 images stacked, F 2.8


## Des Loughney

## Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

Canon DSLR, 200 ISO, f4, 85 mm lens, Exposure 5 seconds
Eta Aurigae used as the comparison star at $V=3.18$
Des uses a remote switch to activate the Canon 200 Digital Single Lens Reflex (DSLR) camera with 85 mm lens. He takes between 10 and 20 exposures stacks and processes 5 sets of them with AIP4WIN.

Note: SE is Standard Error which is the standard deviation divided by the square root of the number of samples. In the case of 5 samples the Standard Deviations (SDs) would be a bit more than double the SE value.

| RJD | Date UT | v | Mag | SE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4994 | 11 June 2009 | 23.50 | 2.56 |  | (Very high air Mass) |
| 5022 | 10 July 2009 | 02.20 | 2.975 | 0.002 |  |
| 5023 | 11 July 2009 | 02:15 | 2.971 | 0.012 |  |
| 5031 | 19 July 2009 | 04.75 | 3.017 | 0.005 |  |
| 5032 | 20 July 2009 | 04.70 | 3.013 | 0.008 |  |
| 5033 | 21 July 2009 | 04.70 | 2.939 | 0.005 |  |
| 5034 | 22 July 2009 | 04.65 | 2.927 | 0.008 |  |
| 5035 | 23 July 2009 | 04.65 | 2.994 | 0.012 |  |
| 5036 | 24 July 2009 | 04.65 | 2.904 | 0.008 |  |
| 5037 | 25 July 2009 | 04.60 | 3.008 | 0.015 |  |
| 5038 | 26 July 2009 | 05.05 | 3.012 | 0.007 |  |
| 5039 | 27 July 2009 | 05.10 | 3.008 | 0.005 |  |
| 5040 | 28 July 2009 | 05.10 | 3.017 | 0.008 |  |
| 5041 | 29 July 2009 | 05.10 | 3.008 | 0.007 |  |
| 5042 | 30 July 2009 | 05.10 | 3.047 | 0.007 |  |
| 5043 | 31 July 2009 | 05.10 | 3.015 | 0.011 |  |
| 5044.713 | 01 August 2009 | - | 2.992 | 0.005 |  |
| 5045.713 | 02 August 2009 | - | 2.992 | 0.007 |  |
| 5046.715 | 03 August 2009 | - | 3.017 | 0.008 |  |
| 5048.715 | 05 August 2009 | - | 3.009 | 0.008 |  |
| 5049.715 | 06 August 2009 | - | 3.008 | 0.004 |  |
| 5051.715 | 08 August 2009 | - | 3.006 | 0.005 |  |
| 5052.715 | 09 August 2009 | - | 2.980 | 0.007 |  |
| 5053.715 | 10 August 2009 | - | 2.992 | 0.004 |  |
| 5054.715 | 11 August 2009 | - | 3.001 | 0.007 |  |
| 5055.715 | 12 August 2009 | - | 3.009 | 0.005 |  |
| 5056.717 | 13 August 2009 | - | 3.002 | 0.002 |  |
| 5057.717 | 14 August 2009 | - | 3.005 | 0.003 |  |
| 5063.485 | 20 August 2009 | - | 2.931 | 0.007 |  |
| 5065.510 | 22 August 2009 | - | 2.974 | 0.004 |  |
| 5068.521 | 25 August 2009 | - | 3.025 | 0.014 |  |
| 5071.652 | 28 August 2009 | - | 3.046 | 0.005 |  |
| 5072.656 | 29 August 2009 | - | 3.052 | 0.006 |  |
| 5079.535 | 05 September 2009 | - | 3.053 | 0.004 |  |
| 5083.502 | 09 September 2009 | - | 3.072 | 0.007 |  |
| 5084.51 | 10 September 2009 | - | 3.096 | 0.004 |  |
| 5086.633 | 12 September 2009 | - | 3.127 | 0.007 |  |
| 5092.652 | 18 September 2009 | - | 3.171 | 0.003 |  |
| 5094.502 | 20 September 2009 | - | 3.180 | 0.001 |  |
| 5096.642 | 22 September 2009 | - | 3.212 | 0.005 |  |
| 5105.619 | 01 October 2009 | - | 3.277 | 0.012 |  |
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| 5108.481 | 03 October 2009 | - | 3.311 | 0.024 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5108.529 | 04 October 2009 | - | 3.311 | 0.016 |  |
| 5109.515 | 04/05 October 2009 | - | 3.321 | 0.008 |  |
| 5111.471 | 06/07 October 2009 | - | 3.298 | 0.005 |  |
| 5112.479 | 07/08 October 2009 | - | 3.307 | 0.004 |  |
| 5116.475 | 11/12 October 2009 | - | 3.353 | 0.014 |  |
| 5120.629 | 15/16 October 2009 | - | 3.388 | 0.016 |  |
| 5121.502 | 16/17 October 2009 | - | 3.375 | 0.013 |  |
| 5122.685 | 17/18 October 2009 | - | 3.350 | 0.016 |  |
| 5127.708 | 22/23 October 2009 | - | 3.390 | 0.013 |  |
| 5128.521 | 23/24 October 2009 | - | 3.382 | 0.006 |  |
| 5130.492 | 25/26 October 2009 | - | 3.421 | 0.012 |  |
| 5139.517 | 03/04 November 2009 | - | 3.446 | 0.001 |  |
| 5141.496 | 05/06 November 2009 | - | 3.438 | 0.003 |  |
| 5142.646 | 06/07 November 2009 | - | 3.459 | 0.020 |  |
| 5144.494 | 08/09 November 2009 | - | 3.470 | 0.010 |  |
| 5147.421 | 11/12 November 2009 | - | 3.429 | 0.026 |  |
| 5150.556 | 14/15 November 2009 | - | 3.478 | 0.009 |  |
| 5153.346 | 17/18 November 2009 | - | 3.442 | 0.008 |  |
| 5156.446 | 20/21 November 2009 | - | 3.466 | 0.003 |  |
| 5157.385 | 21/22 November 2009 | - | 3.457 | 0.003 |  |
| 5159.413 | 23/24 November 2009 | - | 3.467 | 0.002 |  |
| 5160.492 | 24/25 November 2009 | - | 3.479 | 0.003 |  |
| 5163.371 | 27/28 November 2990 | - | 3.480 | 0.004 |  |
| 5166.467 | 30/01/Nov/Dec 2009 | - | 3.526 | 0.002 |  |
| 5172.398 | 06/07 December 2009 | - | 3.563 | 0.004 |  |
| 5174.46 | 08/09 December 2009 | - | 3.579 | 0.02 |  |
| 5175.717 | 09/10 December 2009 | - | 3.573 | 0.006 |  |
| 5176.446 | 10/11 December 2009 | - | 3.584 | 0.004 |  |
| 5178.771 | 12/13 December 2009 | - | 3.547 | 0.023 |  |
| 5185.421 | 19/20 December 2009 | - | 3.647 |  | 0.008 |
| 5188.513 | 22/23 December 2009 | - | 3.675 |  | 0.005 |
| 5189.394 | 23/24 December 2009 | - | 3.664 |  | 0.009 |
| 5193.3917 | 27/28 December 2009 | - | 3.684 |  | 0.003 |
| 5200.383 | 03/04 January 2010 | - | 3.704 |  | 0.005 |
| 5202.513 | 05/06 January 2010 | - | 3.680 |  | 0.007 |
| 5203.2939 | 06/07 January 2010 | - | 3.685 |  | 0.010 |
| 5204.523 | 07/08 January 2010 | - | 3.679 |  | 0.004 |
| 5213.388 | 16/17 January 2010 | - | 3.703 |  | 0.003 |
| 5214.29 | 17/18 January 2010 | - | 3.707 |  | 0.005 |
| 5215.358 | 18/19 January 2010 | - | 3.702 |  | 0.005 |
| 5219.283 | 22/23 January 2010 | - | 3.711 |  | 0.007 |

## RJD = JD - 2,450,000

Note: SE is Standard Error which is the standard deviation divided by the square root of the number of samples. In the case of 5 samples the Standard Deviations (SDs) would be a bit more than double the SE value.

Tom Pearson
Virginia Beach, Virginia USA
DSLR Canon 20 D , 400 ISO, f5.6, 58 mm lens/ 70 mm FL, Exposure 5 seconds 30 Images Stacked

| RJD |  | UT Date | UT | V Mag | SD | X |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5059.8604 | $15 / 16$ | August 2009 | $08: 42$ | 3.066 | 0.011 | 1.4228 |
| 5063.8694 | $19 / 20$ | August 2009 | $08: 52$ | 3.039 | 0.050 | 1.2719 |
| 5065.8063 | $21 / 22$ | August 2009 | $07: 21$ | 2.92 | 0.092 | 1.6550 |
| 5068.8715 | $24 / 25$ | August 2009 | $08: 55$ | 3.083 | 0.057 | 1.2074 |
| 5070.8736 | $26 / 27$ | August 2009 | $08: 58$ | 3.043 | 0.030 | 1.1808 |
| 5073.8806 | $29 / 30$ | August 2009 | $08: 58$ | 3.098 | 0.022 | 1.1345 |
| 5079.8896 | $04 / 05$ | September 2009 | $09: 21$ | 3.105 | 0.014 | 1.0757 |
| 5086.8833 | $11 / 12$ | September 2009 | $09: 12$ | 3.097 | 0.050 | 1.0536 |
| 5088.8354 | $13 / 14$ | September 2009 | $08: 03$ | 3.213 | 0.049 | 1.1464 |
| 5094.8764 | $19 / 20$ | September 2009 | $09: 02$ | 3.183 | 0.032 | 1.0339 |
| 5103.8910 | $28 / 29$ | September 2009 | $09: 23$ | 3.278 | 0.052 | 1.0089 |
| 5104.6638 | $29 / 30$ | September 2009 | $08: 07$ | 3.300 | 0.066 | 1.0477 |
| 5108.9076 | $03 / 04$ | October 2009 | $09: 47$ | 3.389 | 0.031 | 1.0144 |
| 5124.8813 | $19 / 20$ | October 2009 | $09: 09$ | 3.437 | 0.001 | 1.0274 |
| 5125.8806 | $20 / 21$ | October 2009 | $09: 08$ | 3.419 | 0.011 | 1.0295 |
| 5138.8715 | $02 / 03$ | November 2009 | $09: 55$ | 3.418 | 0.019 | 1.1662 |
| 5144.9264 | $08 / 09$ | November 2009 | $10: 14$ | 3.431 | 0.023 | 1.5329 |
| 5151.9424 | $15 / 16$ | November 2009 | $10: 37$ | 3.472 | 0.024 | 1.8839 |
| 5164.9486 | $28 / 29$ | November 2009 | $10: 46$ | 3.455 | 0.011 | 2.6376 |
| 5169.7326 | $03 / 04$ | December 2009 | $05: 35$ | 3.553 | 0.021 | 1.0460 |
| 5173.6903 | $07 / 08$ | December 2009 | $04: 34$ | 3.595 | 0.010 | 1.0154 |
| 5176.5806 | $10 / 11$ | December 2009 | $01: 56$ | 3.647 | 0.009 | 1.0808 |
| 5182.6153 | $16 / 17$ | December 2009 | $02: 46$ | 3.637 | 0.007 | 1.0160 |
| 5186.6090 | $20 / 21$ | December 2009 | $02: 37$ | 3.646 | 0.006 | 1.0134 |
| 5189.6417 | $23 / 24$ | December 2009 | $03: 24$ | 3.698 | 0.015 | 1.0128 |
| 5193.6382 | $27 / 28$ | December 2009 | $03: 19$ | 3.672 | 0.004 | 1.0169 |
| 5194.6000 | $28 / 29$ | December 2009 | $02: 24$ | 3.678 | 0.005 | 1.0090 |
| 5199.6396 | $02 / 03$ | January 2010 | $03: 21$ | 3.690 | 0.024 | 1.0322 |
| 5201.6784 | $04 / 05$ | January 2010 | $04: 14$ | 3.708 | 0.003 | 1.1030 |
| 5203.5743 | $06 / 07$ | January 2010 | $01: 47$ | 3.679 | 0.007 | 1.0093 |
| 5205.6104 | $08 / 09$ | January 2010 | $02: 39$ | 3.688 | 0.006 | 1.0204 |
| 5206.6535 | $09 / 10$ | January 2010 | $03: 41$ | 3.709 | 0.015 | 1.0830 |
| 5207.6611 | $10 / 11$ | January 2010 | $03: 52$ | 3.699 | 0.003 | 1.0560 |
| 5209.5549 | $12 / 13$ | January 2010 | $01: 19$ | 3.690 | 0.010 | 1.0100 |
| 5210.5556 | $13 / 14$ | January 2010 | $01: 20$ | 3.689 | 0.005 | 1.0092 |
| 5212.5500 | $15 / 16$ | January 2010 | $01: 12$ | 3.694 | 0.011 | 1.0092 |
| 5215.5410 | $18 / 19$ | January 2010 | $00: 59$ | 3.696 | 0.004 | 1.0094 |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000

## Thomas Karlsson

Varberg Observatory (VO)
Varberg, Sweden
Observation using: Canon 450D 6 second exposures EF 35-80 mm Comparison star is lambda Aurigae $\mathrm{V}=4.705$

| Da | RJD | v | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07 August 2009 | 5051.4160 | 2.990 | 0.010 |
| 10 September 2009 | 5085.4236 | 3.152 | 0.031 |
| 13 September 2009 | 5088.4028 | 3.172 | 0.042 |
| 14 September 2009 | 5089.4194 | 3.146 | 0.044 |
| 15 September 2009 | 5090.4229 | 3.144 | 0.024 |
| 16 September 2009 | 5091.4028 | 3.155 | 0.060 |
| 17 September 2009 | 5092.4271 | 3.149 | 0.049 |
| 18 September 2009 | 5093.4201 | 3.177 | 0.008 |
| 29/30 September 2009 | 5101.4118 | 3.258 | 0.021 |
| 02/03 October 2009 | 5107.4410 | 3.288 | 0.013 |
| 05/06 October 2009 | 5110.4271 | 3.286 | 0.023 |
| 12/13 October 2009 | 5117.4042 | 3.328 | 0.011 |
| 13/14 October 2009 | 5118.4083 | 3.344 | 0.008 |
| 14/15 October 2009 | 5119.3896 | 3.335 | 0.021 |
| 15/16 October 2009 | 5120.3868 | 3.356 | 0.023 |
| 17/18 October 2009 | 5122.3938 | 3.348 | 0.015 |
| 20/21 October 2009 | 5125.4036 | 3.353 | 0.005 |
| 21/22 October 2009 | 5126.3931 | 3.346 | 0.031 |
| 27/28 October 2009 | 5132.4410 | 3.377 | 0.007 |
| 30/31 October 2009 | 5135.3368 | 3.397 | 0.015 |
| 17/18 November 2009 | 5153.4514 | 3.443 | 0.015 |
| 18/19 November 2009 | 5154.4514 | 3.417 | 0.004 |
| 21/22 November 2009 | 5157.2397 | 3.432 | 0.009 |
| 24/25 November 2009 | 5160.2653 | 3.457 | 0.014 |
| 25/26 November 2009 | 5161.3799 | 3.462 | 0.005 |
| 01/02 December 2009 | 5167.2944 | 3.516 | 0.019 |
| 17/18 December 2009 | 5183.3028 | 3.631 | 0.016 |
| 28/29 December 2009 | 5194.2215 | 3.668 | 0.010 |
| 29/30 December 2009 | 5165.2771 | 3.679 | 0.019 |
| 30/31 December 2009 | 5196.2028 | 3.676 | 0.010 |
| 31/01 Dec/Jan 09/10 | 5197.2278 | 3.675 | 0.009 |
| 02/03 January 2010 | 5199.2069 | 3.676 | 0.010 |
| 08/09 January 2010 | 5205.2237 | 3.676 | 0.008 |
| 10/11 January 2010 | 5207.3646 | 3.679 | 0.023 |
| 11/12 January 2010 | 5208.2389 | 3.679 | 0.008 |
| 14/15 January 2010 | 5211.3410 | 3.682 | 0.002 |
| 21/22 January 2010 | 5218.3340 | 3.697 | 0.019 |
| 23/24 January 2010 | 5220.2569 | 3.715 | 0.025 |
| 24/25 January 2010 | 5221.2257 | 3.690 | 0.012 |
| 25/26 January 2010 | 5222.2417 | 3.702 | 0.015 |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000

## Brian E. McCandless, Grand View Observatory (GVO) <br> Elkton, MD USA

Telescope: CGE1400 Detector *(BVRI): SSP-3 Detector (JH): SSP-4 @ T=-40C
Photometric Monitoring of Epsilon Aurigae

## Summary

Photometric observations of epsilon Aurigae are presented for the observing period JD2455156 (Nov 2009) to present. Cumulative photometric results in Johnson BVRI, Wing ABC and near-infrared JH bands are plotted for the longer interval, from JD2454460 (Jan 2008) to the present. Photometry shows progress of the eclipse ingress, with intensity bumps in BVRI and J bands. The V band transition to eclipse shows a soft entry, a smooth inflection from JD2455187 to JD2455207. Photometry on the narrow-band Wing system shows that the effective photosphere temperature remains constant through the ingress, within the margin of error. The TiO index fluctuated around zero but spectra in the 712 nm band reveals variable absorption.

## Introduction

This report contains results of photometric and spectrographic monitoring of the long period eclipsing system epsilon Aurigae. The observations are part of an on-going program to elucidate the underlying mechanisms responsible for the photometric and spectroscopic behavior of this system, during the period preceding and during the 2009-2011 occurrence of the 27.1 year eclipse cycle.

## Observation and Reduction

The observation period for this report is from JD2455156 to the present. The observing site is somewhat poorly located, with respect to seeing conditions, in the mid-Atlantic coastal region of the United States, at: Lon N39i 36.390'; Lat W75i 50.223'; Elev 7 m. The portable observatory consists of a Celestron CGE1400 ( $35 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{f} / 10$ ) telescope with Optec solid state photometers: SSP3 using Optec filters for BVRI on the Johnson system and Wing ABC narrow-band pass system; and Optec SSP4 for JH photometry. The photometer detectors subtend an angle of approximately 55 arcsec, with the target star placed within a central 15 arcsec diameter region.

The GVO facility is also equipped with an SBIG SGS spectrograph with ST7XME CCD camera operating at $\mathrm{f} / 6.3$, optimized for $600-760 \mathrm{~nm}$ spectral range, grating $600 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{mm}$, dispersion $=0.107$ $\mathrm{nm} /$ pixel, $\mathrm{R}=0.22 \mathrm{~nm}$ at 650 nm , yielding a spectral resolution $\mathrm{R} \sim 3000$ at $\mathrm{H} \alpha$. Wavelength calibration was performed for each spectrum using SBIG Spectra software and contiguous spectra of the output of $\mathrm{Ar} / \mathrm{Ne}$ and $\mathrm{Hg} / \mathrm{Ne}$ emission lamps. An observing run consists of setting the grating, centering the star on the slit, acquiring a 30 sec image with the calibration lamps and star, then acquiring a spectrum of the target star without making any instrument adjustments.

## Comparison Stars:

BVRI comparison star: $\lambda$ Aur (HD34411)
BVRI check star: HD32655
Wing comparison star: $\lambda$ Aur calibrated using $\delta$ Aur, $\rho$ Gem, $\tau 2$ Ori
JH comparison star: $\lambda$ Aur
JH check stars: HD32655 and $\delta$ Aur

## Brian E. McCandless (continued)

## Photometry Observations and Reduction:

Variable star measurements ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{sec}$ ) made between comparison star readings ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{sec}$ ); magnitudes calculated using HPO-cited values for $\lambda$ Aurigae and corrected for first-order extinction. Statistical errors calculated by transforming deflection standard-deviations to magnitude. Table I
lists standard magnitudes used for reduction, compiled from HPO, Simbad, UKIRT sources. Single channel photometry results from January 2008 to current date are plotted in Figure 1. BVRI and JH data from Aug 09 to present are listed in Tables II and III. Note that early B filter data in Figure 1 may have been tainted by poor filter properties, and a new B filter was installed after JD 2455000 after delamination spots were found in the original filter.

Table I. Filter properties, comparison star and check star magnitudes.

| Filter | Central <br> $\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{n m})$ | Band <br> Pass <br> $(\mathbf{n m})$ | $\lambda$ Aur <br> Mag | HD32655 <br> Mag | $\delta$ Aur <br> Mag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 440 | 100 | 5.34 | 6.63 | 4.94 |
| V | 540 | 95 | 4.71 | 6.26 | 3.72 |
| Rj | 650 | 180 | 4.19 | 5.77 | - |
| WA | 712 | 11 | 3.01 | - | 1.79 |
| WB | 754 | 11 | 3.11 | - | 1.82 |
| Ij | 880 | 280 | 3.88 | 5.47 | - |
| WC | 1025 | 42 | 4.04 | - | 2.42 |
| J | 1250 | 200 | 3.62 | 5.17 | 2.04 |
| H | 1650 | 300 | 3.33 | 5.02 | 1.52 |

## Photometric Data

Eps Aurigae Photometry: B E McCandless (GVO)
BVRI JH and WING ABC Magnitudes


Figure 1. Cumulative plot of McCandless' photometry (Jan o8 to present):

## Brian E. McCandless (continued)

Photometric magnitudes are presented in Tables I to III for BVRI, Wing and JH observations, respectively. The following abbreviations are used to represent nominal observing conditions for data presented in the photometry tables: $\mathrm{T}=$ Temperature, $\mathrm{RH}=$ Relative Humidity, $\mathrm{Q}=$ Seeing Quality; Exc = no visible haze, good stability, no breeze; Good = low haze, good stability, no breeze; Poor = high haze and/or excessive scintillation, possible breeze.

Table II. BVRI magnitudes

| RJD | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{S D}$ | $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{S D}$ | $\mathbf{R j}$ | $\mathbf{S D}$ | $\mathbf{I j}$ | $\mathbf{S D}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5210.57153 | 4.272 | 0.003 | 3.676 | 0.002 | 3.159 | 0.001 | 2.767 | 0.003 |
| 5207.56806 |  |  | 3.682 | 0.003 | 3.151 | 0.002 |  |  |
| 5206.60000 | 4.279 | 0.006 | 3.679 | 0.002 | 3.154 | 0.003 | 2.757 | 0.002 |
| 5193.58333 | 4.282 | 0.004 | 3.663 | 0.004 | 3.146 | 0.003 |  |  |
| 5187.55917 |  |  | 3.641 | 0.005 | 3.122 | 0.003 |  |  |
| 5182.60000 | 4.208 | 0.012 | 3.635 | 0.003 | 3.097 | 0.007 | 2.715 | 0.003 |
| 5177.60208 | 4.177 | 0.006 | 3.583 | 0.003 | 3.060 | 0.002 | 2.686 | 0.003 |
| 5176.55833 |  |  | 3.579 | 0.004 | 3.048 | 0.003 |  |  |
| 5164.59792 | 4.092 | 0.005 | 3.499 | 0.005 | 2.990 | 0.006 | 2.598 | 0.007 |
| 5163.67292 | 4.080 | 0.007 | 3.483 | 0.006 | 2.970 | 0.005 |  |  |
| 5156.61111 | 4.021 | 0.005 | 3.458 | 0.004 | 2.938 | 0.004 | 2.569 | 0.003 |
| RJD = JD - 2, 450,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table III. Wing A, B, C avg magnitudes : statistical errors are nominally $\pm 0.01 \mathrm{M}$

| RJD | WA | WB | WC | Teff <br> $\mathbf{\pm 2 0 K )}$ | TiO <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5210.57 | 1.99 | 2.08 | 2.87 | 5900 | 0.016 |
| 5207.57 | 1.98 | 2.09 | 2.82 | 5720 | -0.011 |
| 5187.56 | 1.93 | 2.02 | 2.81 | 5920 | 0.026 |
| 5177.60 | 1.89 | 1.98 | 2.79 | 5900 | 0.011 |
| 5156.63 | 1.77 | 1.86 | 2.55 | 5900 | -0.011 |
| 5142.66 | 1.71 | 1.81 | 2.63 | 6020 | 0.007 |

RJD $=$ JD $-2,450,000$
The collected photometry in Wing A, B and C bands (band passes at 712, 754 and 1025 nm ) is shown in Table III. Motivation for this is: 1) detection of possible TiO, VO and C content in companion cloud system 2) continuum values in the far-red and NIR. The penultimate column in Table III lists values of computed effective photospheric temperature, based on a blackbody approximation using Wing B and C magnitudes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\text {eff }}=3402-23025(\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C})+977.5(\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C})^{2}-142.4(\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C})^{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For Wing A filter magnitudes, spanning TiO and C transitions, deviation from blackbody flux can be quantified by the TiO index (last column):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{TiO}=(\mathrm{WA}-\mathrm{WB})-0.13(\mathrm{WB}-\mathrm{WC}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effective temperature equation is based on calibration by McCandless using standard stars, and the TiO index equation is from literature by Dr. Robert Wing.

## Brian E. McCandless (continued)

## Table IV. JH magnitudes

| RJD | J | SD | H | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5210.59028 | 2.481 | 0.005 | 2.256 | 0.002 |
| 5207.55139 | 2.473 | 0.003 | 2.258 | 0.004 |
| 5207.51667 | 2.484 | 0.005 | 2.253 | 0.003 |
| 5206.57222 | 2.470 | 0.004 | 2.249 | 0.003 |
| 5182.60972 | 2.449 | 0.009 | 2.199 | 0.007 |
| 5177.55347 | 2.400 | 0.012 | 2.179 | 0.007 |
| 5176.57639 | 2.384 | 0.007 | 2.179 | 0.007 |
| 5163.59861 | 2.313 | 0.011 | 2.111 | 0.013 |
| 5142.63056 | 2.224 | 0.010 | 2.013 | 0.007 |
| 5142.61944 | 2.228 | 0.011 | 1.979 | 0.007 |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000
Other Activities:

1. Collected data on low-amplitude variable PU Aurigae on most dates in VR and JH.
2. Finalized design of NIR polarimeter and obtained necessary filters and waveplates. Plan to obtain measurements during mid-eclipse, egress, and post-eclipse.

## Dr. Mukund Kurtadikar, Jalna Education Society Observatory (JESO) Maharashtra, India

Team:

1. Dr.M.L. Kurtadikar, J.E.S.College, Jalna 431 203, India.
2. A.N. Ardad, Shiv Chatrapati College, Aurangabad 431 003, India.
3. Dr.P.M. Kokne, Barwale College, Jalna 431203.
4. A.D. Dashrath, High Tech Polytechnic and Engg. College, Aurangabad.
5. S.K. Pandit, Barwale College, Jalna 431203.

Postgraduate Department of Physics Jalna Education Society's
R.G.B.Arts , S.B.Lakhotia Commerce \& R.Bezonji Science College Optec SSP-3

| Date |  | RJD | v | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/04 | January 2010 | 5200.3377 | 3.57 | 0.01 |
| 04/05 | January 2010 | 5201.3911 | 3.38 |  |
| 05/06 | January 2010 | 5202.3442 | 3.58 | 0.03 |
| 06/07 | January 2010 | 5203.3844 | 3.47 |  |
| 07/08 | January 2010 | 5204.3313 | 3.60 | 0.03 |
| 14/15 | January 2010 | 5211.3616 | 3.62 | 0.02 |
| 15/16 | January 2010 | 5212.5871 | 3.67 | 0.02 |
| 17/18 | January 2010 | 5214.6358 | 3.61 | 0.01 |
| 18/19 | January 2010 | 5215.5664 | 3.61 | 0.02 |
| 20/21 | January 2010 | 5217.6194 | 3.62 | 0.0 |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000

## Jeff Hopkins, Hopkins Phoenix Observatory (HPO), Phoenix, Arizona USA

Latitude: 33.5017 North , Longitude: 112.2228 West, Altitude: 1097 feet ASL
Time Zone: MST (UT -7) Telescope: C-8 8" SCT , Filter Set: UBV Standard
Detector: 1P21 PMT in Photon Counting Mode, Differential Photometry
lambda Aurigae as Comparison star: $\mathrm{V}=4.71 ; \mathrm{B}=5.34 ; \mathrm{U}=5.46$
Data transformed and corrected for nightly extinction.

25/26 August 2009 28/29 August 2009 07/08 September 14/15 September 15/16 September 20095090.9774 17/18 September 20095092.9662 20/21 September 20095095.9753 21/22 September 20095096.9669 22/23 September 20095097.9655 24/25 September 20095099.9225 25/26 September 27/28 September 30/01 Sept/Oct 03/04 October 08/09 October 17/18 October 19/20 October 23/24 October 05/06 November 08/09 November 14/15 November 15/16 November 16/17 November 17/18 November 18/19 November 19/20 November 20/21 November 22/23 November 24/25 November 25/26 November 26/27 November 30/01 Nov/Dec
02/03 December 03/04 December 04/05 December 08/09 December 09/10 December 10/11 December 14/15 December 16/17 December 18/19 December 23/24 December 24/25/December 02/03 January 07/08 January 11/12 January
5069.9433 5072.9732 20095082.9565 20095089.9704 20095090.9774 20095092.9662 20095095.9753 20095096.9669
20095097.9655 20095100.9774
20095102.9308 20095105.9322 20095108.9225 20095113.9392 20095122.8697 20095124.8496 20095128.8405 20095141.8051 20095144.8100 20095150.8030 20095151.8072 20095152.8086 20095153.8121 20095154.8024 20095155.8017 20095156.8072 20095158.8072 20095160.8058 20095161.8037 20095162.8030 20095166.7803 20095168.7878 20095169.7676 20095170.7669 20095174.7301 20095175.7260 20095176.7301 20095180.7329 20095182.7232 20095184.7232 20095189.7253 20095190.7183 20105199.6753 20105204.6815 20105208.6774

U SD
3.6940
3.7242

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 \\
8 & 0
\end{array}
$$

D

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
3.7768 & 0 \\
3.8572 & 0
\end{array}
$$

$\begin{array}{ll}3.8933 & 0 \\ 3.9174 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}3.9356 & 0 \\ 3.9445 & 0\end{array}$
3.96550
$\begin{array}{ll}3.9741 & 0 \\ 3.9817 & 0\end{array}$
3.98170 .0170
$4.0211 \quad 0.0094$
$\begin{array}{ll}4.0701 & 0.0061 \\ 4.1207 & 0.0153\end{array}$
4.11720 .0069
$4.0931 \quad 0.0284$
$4.1306 \quad 0.0258$
$4.1299 \quad 0.0081$
$4.1233 \quad 0.0122$
$4.1485 \quad 0.0038$
$4.1379 \quad 0.0149$
4.12360 .0155
$\begin{array}{ll}4.1240 & 0.0155 \\ 4.1396 & 0.0213\end{array}$
$4.1243 \quad 0.0328$
$4.1257 \quad 0.0209$
$4.1404 \quad 0.0014$
$4.1401 \quad 0.0127$
$4.1919 \quad 0.0193$
$4.2072 \quad 0.0043$

$$
4.2174 \quad 0.0065
$$ 4.28960

3. 
4. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.8572 \\
& 3.8667
\end{aligned}
$$

3.94660
$4.1631 \quad 0.0024$
4.1594
$\begin{array}{lll}4.2905 & 0.0004 & 4 \\ 4.2786 & 0.0026\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}4.2786 & 0.0026 \\ 4.3274 & 0.0039\end{array}$
$4.3501 \quad 0.0064$
$4.3720 \quad 0.0029$
$4.4068 \quad 0.0094$
$4.3941 \quad 0.0110$
$4.4235 \quad 0.0097$
$4.4122 \quad 0.0077$
4.42210 .0082

B
25 SD SD

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
9 & 3 \\
5 & 3
\end{array}
$$

$$
3.0669
$$

SD ..... 0.0030
0.0087 0.0074 0.0024 0.0022 0.0074 0.0019 0.0014 0.0036 0.0045 0.0030 0.0042 0.0033 0.0007 0.0044 0.0038 0.0054 0.0042 0.0067 0.0025 0.0049 0.0020 0.0012 0.0003 0.0019 0.0040 0.0132 0.0021 0.0007 0.0031 0.0028 0.0027 0.0045 0.0080 0.0008 0.0026 0.0043 0.0057 0.0058 0.0056 0.0023 0.0091 0.0026 0.0017

| $14 / 15$ | January | 20105211.6739 | 4.4283 | 0.0049 | 4.2659 | 0.0037 | 3.6959 | 0.0009 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $23 / 24$ | January | 20105220.6836 | 4.4342 | 0.0013 | 4.2867 | 0.0017 | 3.7236 | 0.0016 |
| $24 / 25$ | January | 20105221.6468 | 4.4343 | 0.0022 | 4.2883 | 0.0003 | 3.7209 | 0.0020 | RJD = JD - 2,450,000

## Frank J. Melillo

## Holtsville, NY USA

Lat:+ 4od 40' Long: 73 W Elevation: 100'
Instrument: Optec SSP-3, Telescope: C-8 8"
Gate Time: 10 Seconds

| RJD | Date | UT | v Mag | \#SD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5058.8090 | 14/15 August 2009 | 07:25 | 2.973 | 12 | 0.017 |
| 5066.7951 | 22/23 August 2009 | 07:05 | 3.010 | 12 | 0.019 |
| 5073.8806 | 29/30 August 2009 | 08:58 | 3.098 | 12 | 0.022 |
| 5074.7639 | 30/31 August 2009 | 06:20 | 3.023 | 12 | - |
| 5080.7514 | 05/06 September 2009 | 06:28 | 3.044 | 12 | 0.012 |
| 5088.7361 | 13/14 September 2009 | - | 3.10 | $3-$ |  |
| 5092.7361 | 17/18 September 2009 | 05:40 | 3.14 | 12 | 0.02 |
| 5094.7500 | 19/20 September 2009 | 06:00 | 3.17 | 12 | 0.01 |
| 5100.7263 | 25/26 September 2009 | 05:30 | 3.20 | 12 | 0.01 |
| 5102.7263 | 27/28 September 2009 | 05: 15 | 3.21 | 12 | 0.02 |
| 5106.7114 | 01/02 October 2009 | 04:40 | 3.25 | 12 | 0.019 |
| 5110.6982 | 05/06 October 2009 | 04:45 | 3.28 | 12 | 0.020 |
| 5116.7115 | 11/12 October 2009 | 05:05 | 3.32 | 12 | 0.019 |
| 5124.6699 | 19/20 October 2009 | 04:51 | 3.33 | 12 | 0.017 |
| 5130.6951 | 25/26 October 2009 | 03:40 | 3.37 | 16 | 0.019 |
| 5134.6559 | 29/30 October 2009 | 03:50 | 3.38 | 16 | 0.020 |
| 5139.7088 | 03/04 November 2009 | 08:00 | 3.40 | 16 | 0.022 |
| 5144.6515 | 08/09 November 2009 | 03:30 | 3.41 | 16 | 0.016 |
| 5153.7132 | 17/18 November 2009 | 05:05 | 3.44 | 16 | 0.026 |
| 5156.7090 | 20/21 November 2009 | 05:20 | 3.44 | 16 | 0.024 |
| 5164.7535 | 28/29 November 2009 | 05:55 | 3.48 | 12 | 0.031 |
| 5169.7142 | 03/04 December 2009 | 05:05 | 3.53 | 12 | 0.020 |
| 5176.7124 | 10/11 December 2009 | 05:00 | 3.57 | 11 | 0.020 |
| 5182.6315 | 16/17 December 2009 | 03:15 | 3.62 | 12 | 0.017 |
| 5187.7218 | 21/22 December 2009 | 05:10 | 3.66 | 12 | 0.012 |
| 5193.6325 | 27/28 December 2009 | 03:10 | 3.67 | 12 | 0.012 |
| 5203.5209 | 06/07 January 2010 | 00:30 | 3.67 | 12 | 0.017 |
| 5207.6319 | 10/11 January 2010 | 03:10 | 3.68 | 12 | 0.016 |
| 5211.5226 | 14/15 January 2010 | 00:40 | 3.68 | 12 | 0.028 |
| 5215.5294 | 18/19 January 2010 | 00:40 | 3.68 | 12 | 0.036 |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000

## Gerard Samolyk

## Greenfield, Wisconsin, USA

Equipment, CCD Camera and Camera Lens, ST9XE + 50 mm lens
Comparison star lambda Aurigae; $\mathrm{B}=5.329 ; \mathrm{V}=4.705 ; \mathrm{Rc}=4.340$; $\mathrm{Ic}=3.998$

| RJD | v | SD | B | SD | Rc | SD | Ic | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5038.8770 | 2.980 | 0.009 | 3.567 | 0.011 | 2.563 | 0.013 | 2.223 | 0.009 |
| 5039.8840 | 2.955 | 0.013 | 3.560 | 0.015 | 2.609 | 0.016 | 2.255 | 0.023 |
| 5040.8178 | 2.973 | 0.018 | 3.566 | 0.014 | 2.583 | 0.028 | 2.202 | 0.025 |
| 5041.8184 | 2.976 | 0.009 | 3.566 | 0.013 | 2.588 | 0.017 | 2.232 | 0.016 |
| 5043.8183 | 2.985 | 0.015 | 3.562 | 0.010 |  |  |  |  |
| 5045.8605 | 2.970 | 0.008 | 3.539 | 0.017 | 2.560 | 0.015 | 2.225 | 0.010 |
| 5055.8670 | 2.952 | 0.012 | 3.533 | 0.009 | 2.584 | 0.007 | 2.240 | 0.008 |
| 5056.8689 | 2.978 | 0.005 | 3.532 | 0.017 | 2.578 | 0.010 | 2.233 | 0.004 |
| 5058.8482 | 2.952 | 0.012 | 3.515 | 0.015 | 2.577 | 0.028 | 2.232 | 0.019 |
| 5062.8575 | 2.995 | 0.019 | 3.542 | 0.015 | 2.628 | 0.010 | 2.278 | 0.014 |
| 5066.8375 | 2.992 | 0.017 | 3.587 | 0.017 | 2.627 | 0.020 | 2.316 | 0.015 |
| 5067.8512 | 3.003 | 0.005 | 3.597 | 0.016 | 2.613 | 0.015 | 2.290 | 0.015 |
| 5074.8333 | 3.038 | 0.011 | 3.600 | 0.007 | 2.675 | 0.013 | 2.325 | 0.008 |
| 5075.8293 | 3.049 | 0.013 | 3.619 | 0.013 | 2.676 | 0.012 | 2.323 | 0.011 |
| 5076.8159 | 3.036 | 0.020 | 3.615 | 0.014 | 2.664 | 0.016 | 2.331 | 0.006 |
| 5077.8389 | 3.026 | 0.017 | 3.616 | 0.020 | 2.659 | 0.006 | 2.325 | 0.016 |
| 5078.8500 | 3.002 | 0.019 | 3.623 | 0.014 | 2.669 | 0.015 | 2.337 | 0.010 |
| 5079.8741 | 3.054 | 0.008 | 3.627 | 0.019 | 2.686 | 0.017 | 2.335 | 0.005 |
| 5082.8339 | 3.065 | 0.012 | 3.640 | 0.010 | 2.705 | 0.015 | 2.346 | 0.009 |
| 5086.8186 | 3.071 | 0.012 | 3.684 | 0.018 | 2.689 | 0.009 | 2.362 | 0.017 |
| 5087.8501 | 3.116 | 0.013 | 3.690 | 0.024 | 2.777 | 0.013 | 2.406 | 0.021 |
| 5088.9027 | 3.149 | 0.013 | 3.724 | 0.014 | 2.747 | 0.015 | 2.388 | 0.008 |
| 5089.8505 | 3.104 | 0.019 | 3.726 | 0.028 | 2.719 | 0.035 | 2.396 | 0.013 |
| 5092.7804 | 3.154 | 0.010 | 3.736 | 0.018 | 2.770 | 0.013 | 2.413 | 0.018 |
| 5093.8139 | 3.164 | 0.015 | 3.740 | 0.019 | 2.799 | 0.014 | 2.422 | 0.013 |
| 5096.7917 | 3.159 | 0.017 | 3.755 | 0.021 | 2.754 | 0.023 | 2.419 | 0.022 |
| 5101.8129 | 3.224 | 0.015 | 3.799 | 0.018 | 2.827 | 0.006 | 2.465 | 0.018 |
| 5105.7878 | 3.238 | 0.012 | 3.841 | 0.012 | 2.853 | 0.014 | 2.518 | 0.008 |
| 5112.7545 | 3.284 | 0.008 | 3.884 | 0.018 | 2.882 | 0.018 | 2.535 | 0.013 |
| 5114.7949 | 3.291 | 0.016 | 3.880 | 0.014 | 2.903 | 0.010 | 2.542 | 0.010 |
| 5115.7735 | 3.296 | 0.011 | 3.891 | 0.012 | 2.917 | 0.011 | 2.551 | 0.006 |
| 5122.7456 | 3.340 | 0.011 | 3.933 | 0.012 | 2.929 | 0.018 | 2.552 | 0.015 |
| 5124.6330 | 3.346 | 0.022 | 3.930 | 0.036 | 2.900 | 0.016 | 2.569 | 0.017 |
| 5131.9432 | 3.387 | 0.007 | 3.954 | 0.013 |  |  |  |  |
| 5135.70242 |  |  |  |  | 2.619 | 0.010 |  |  |
| 5136.6984 | 3.383 | 0.004 | 3.960 | 0.013 |  |  |  |  |
| 5138.1650 | 3.385 | 0.024 | 3.940 | 0.017 | 2.995 | 0.007 | 2.637 | 0.012 |
| 5140.9099 | 3.373 | 0.022 | 3.935 | 0.023 | 3.097 | 0.008 | 2.690 | 0.017 |
| 5143.9008 | 3.427 | 0.007 | 3.954 | 0.019 | 3.103 | 0.008 | 2.706 | 0.007 |
| 5146.8591 | 3.412 | 0.009 | 3.956 | 0.012 | 3.083 | 0.009 | 2.718 | 0.010 |
| 5147.8454 | 3.423 | 0.003 | 3.962 | 0.012 | 3.141 | 0.009 | 2.740 | 0.014 |
| 5156.8431 | 3.463 | 0.015 | 4.013 | 0.030 | 3.144 | 0.029 | 2.765 | 0.067 |
| 5157.8508 | 3.466 | 0.011 | 4.009 | 0.019 | 2.779 | 0.011 |  |  |
| 5158.6526 | 3.430 | 0.017 | 4.000 | 0.019 | 3.037 | 0.013 | 2.713 | 0.015 |
| 5163.8541 | 3.477 | 0.007 | 3.992 | 0.021 | 3.178 | 0.003 | 2.797 | 0.013 |
| 5166.61795 |  |  |  |  | 3.115 | 0.014 | 2.747 | 0.019 |
| 5167.72619 |  |  |  |  | 3.125 | 0.011 | 2.754 | 0.006 |


| 5172.8084 | 3.575 | 0.011 |  |  | 3.236 | 0.003 | 2.850 | 0.005 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5174.6075 | 3.526 | 0.020 | 4.084 | 0.032 | 3.193 | 0.016 | 2.785 | 0.016 |
| 5175.6061 | 3.514 | 0.046 | 4.104 | 0.067 | 3.189 | 0.036 | 2.794 | 0.035 |
| 5177.6313 | 3.579 | 0.021 | 4.100 | 0.015 | 3.171 | 0.014 | 2.890 | 0.007 |
| 5178.6223 | 3.582 | 0.011 | 4.115 | 0.014 | 3.195 | 0.005 | 2.820 | 0.007 |
| 5179.6616 | 3.582 | 0.009 | 4.135 | 0.014 | 3.208 | 0.006 | 2.837 | 0.015 |
| 5181.6654 | 3.594 | 0.015 | 4.160 | 0.026 | 3.222 | 0.014 | 2.857 | 0.017 |
| 5182.6946 | 3.603 | 0.017 | 4.154 | 0.011 | 3.232 | 0.012 | 2.860 | 0.011 |
| 5183.6410 | 3.600 | 0.011 | 4.157 | 0.009 | 3.224 | 0.011 | 2.872 | 0.012 |
| 5184.63937 |  |  |  |  | 3.219 | 0.017 | 2.880 | 0.008 |
| 5185.6091 | 3.626 | 0.016 | 4.181 | 0.013 | 3.235 | 0.018 | 2.872 | 0.010 |
| 5186.6826 | 3.648 | 0.008 | 4.190 | 0.005 | 3.238 | 0.005 | 2.880 | 0.006 |
| 5188.6217 | 3.623 | 0.012 | 4.206 | 0.015 | 3.243 | 0.007 | 2.890 | 0.010 |
| 5190.6191 | 3.631 | 0.015 | 4.178 | 0.023 | 3.230 | 0.015 | 2.881 | 0.012 |
| 5191.7336 | 3.685 | 0.019 | 4.221 | 0.011 | 3.291 | 0.018 | 2.939 | 0.006 |
| 5192.6316 | 3.650 | 0.014 | 4.209 | 0.012 | 3.289 | 0.012 | 2.898 | 0.011 |
| 5194.6619 | 3.660 | 0.015 | 4.215 | 0.024 | 3.271 | 0.010 | 2.894 | 0.011 |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000
Robert E. Stencel, University of Denver, Denver, Colorado USA DSLR V Band Data, Comparison Star eta Aurigae assumed to be V-3.17

| RJD | $\mathbf{V}$ | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5153.65 | 3.40 | 0.17 |
| 5122.71 | 3.24 | 0.02 |
| 5120.69 | 3.35 | 0.16 |
| 5119.69 | 3.28 | 0.04 |
| 5111.70 | 3.33 | 0.02 |
| 5107.69 | 3.35 | 0.14 |
| 5100.91 | 3.15 | 0.04 |
| 5092.89 | 3.10 | 0.02 |
| 5085.89 | 3.14 | -- |
| 5079.86 | 3.12 | 0.05 |
| 5070.88 | 2.97 | 0.02 |
| 5063.88 | 2.97 | 0.04 |
| 5062.89 | 2.92 | 0.06 |
| 5019.92 | 3.13 | 0.15 |
| 5193.61 | 3.62 | 0.07 |
| 5199.56 | 3.65 | 0.14 |
| 5203.59 | 3.66 | 0.03 |

RJD = JD - 2,450,000

## Spectroscopy Report

## Robin Leadbeater, Three Hills Observatory

Location: Cubria, England
Equipment:

## Telescope

Vixen VC200L Cassegrain, 200mm f 6.4/f9
Spectrographs
Star Analyser, Lhires III

## Potassium 7699 $\AA$ line

Photometrically the light curve has flattened off, indicating that the densest part of the eclipsing object now stretches across the F star and the second contact point of the eclipse has been reached. The more tenuous outer regions of the eclipsing object however (as tracked for example by the additional absorption in the K I 7699 line) have been spread across the width of the eclipsed star for some months now. As a result, the changes in the K I 7699 line have become more subtle since November 2009. Although the Equivalent Width has continued to increase overall, the changes have been more at the edges of the line profile with little increase in the maximum absorption.


This may be explained if the eclipsing object is a rotating disc in Keplerian motion.
a) As the eclipse progresses, an increasing proportion of the rotating disc in front of the star is moving almost transversely relative to our line of sight and so shows little Doppler shift due to the rotation. This produces an increase in absorption around the KI rest wavelength, currently at the blue edge of the absorption line profile.

## Robin Leadbeater, Three Hills Observatory (continued)

b) The inner regions of the leading half of the disc are now moving in front of the star. These are rotating faster than the outer regions now moving off the far edge of the star and the net result is an increased radial velocity red shift.

The intensity of the additional absorption in the KI 7699 line has now reached $400 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ equivalent width, similar to the value seen at this stage by Lambert and Sawyer during the previous eclipse.

Finally on 27 January 2919 a chance to get another spectrum after a gap of 9 days. A surprise was waiting for me. After almost no change in EW since 10 December 09, it has started increasing again. Nearly all the additional absorption over the past 9 days is on the red (high RV) edge of the line. The RV of the absorption now being added to the line is roughly twice that first seen back in July last year.
Faster orbiting inner material now eclipsing the F star.


## Robin Leadbeater, Three Hills Observatory (continued)



KI 7699 EW data and line profiles from Three Hills Observatory were included in this poster paper presented at the 110th AAS meeting Washington DC January 2010.
"Epsilon Aurigae - Two Year Totality Transpiring" Brian Kloppenborg, Robert Stencel, Jeffrey Hopkins

The EW results were also included in this poster paper presented at the 25th New Mexico Symposium January 2010 as part of a collaboration with Apache Point Observatory.
"Early results of a high-resolution spectroscopic monitoring program of the mysterious eclipsing
binary, Epsilon Aurigae, at Apache Point Observatory" William Ketzeback, John Barentine, Russet McMillan, Jack Dembicky, Gabrelle Saurage, Jeffrey Coughlin, Joe Huehnerhoff, Sarah Schmidt, George Wallerstein, Suzanne Hawley, Robin Leadbeater

The THO results are in good agreement with those obtained using the 3.5 m ARC telescope and ARCES spectrograph at APO as shown in fig 7 of the paper.

## Robin Leadbeater, Three Hills Observatory (continued)

Hydrogen alpha $6563 \AA$ line: Identifying changes in the Hydrogen alpha line due to the eclipse is complicated by variations seen in this line outside eclipse (both in the absorption core and in the red and blue emission wings).


The emergence of an additional absorption component during ingress to the red of the out of eclipse absorption core is clear however.

## Robin Leadbeater, Three Hills Observatory (continued)

## Hydrogen gamma and metal lines 4270-4370 $\AA$

The "shell spectrum" of narrow metal lines, extracted by dividing the in eclipse spectra by the mean pre eclipse, was identified by Ferluga during the last eclipse and was first seen in THO spectra during this eclipse in August 2009


During ingress the H gamma line in the shell spectrum has increased significantly in intensity, the other features less so. Note also the absence in the shell spectrum of some lines present in the preeclipse spectrum. The Ti II line at $4331 \AA$ is a good example.

Thierry Garrel, Observatoire de Foncaude Juvignac, France
Telescope Type: CN212 Takshashi, $212 \mathrm{~mm}, 12,4 / 3,99$
Cassegrain/Newtonian
Instrument/Detector: Spectrometer
Lhires III $2400 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{mm}$, Star Analyser 100
Atik 314L+, cooled camera based on sony 285 CCD

## Hydrogen Alpha Spectrum Profile 25 September 2009



Hydrogen Alpha Spectrum Profile 12 October 2009


## Thierry Garrel, Observatoire de Foncaude (continued)

Hydrogen Alpha Spectrum Profile 14 October 2009


Hydrogen Alpha Spectrum Profile 07 November 2009


## Thierry Garrel, Observatoire de Foncaude (continued)

Hydrogen Alpha Spectrum Profile 09 November 2009


Hydrogen Alpha Spectrum Profile 18 November 2009


Hydrogen Alpha Spectrum Profile 24 November 2009


Hydrogen Alpha Spectrum Profile o1 December 2009


## Thierry Garrel, Observatoire de Foncaude (continued)

Hydrogen Alpha Spectrum Profile 04 December 2009
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## Thierry Garrel, Observatoire de Foncaude (continued)



Jose Ribeiro, Lisboa, Portugal
Observatorio de Instituto Geografico de Exercito (IGEOE - Portugal) C14 with Lhires III and SBIG ST7

## Epsilon Aurigae Hydrogen Alpha Region 09 January 2010



## Christian Buil, Castanet-Tolosan, France

Instrument: 0.28 m telescope (Celestron 11) + eShel spectrograph ( $\mathrm{R}=11000$ ) + QSI532 CCD camera (CCD KAF3200ME)
Processing: standard échelle pipeline (Reshel software V1.11). H2O telluric lines are removed (division by a synthetic H2O spectrum by using Vspec software - the telluric lines list is from GEISA database (LMD/CNRS)).

The diurnal and annual earth velocity are corrected (the spectra wavelength are given in an heliocentric reference for a standard atmosphere). For the plots, the continuum is normalized and vertically shifted between each spectrum for clarity. Date are given in UT.


H $\alpha$ Region


Activity of $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ Line

## Christian Buil (continued)



Fast Evolution Near H $\alpha$ Line, Note Features near $6491.9 \AA$ and $6497.1 \AA$


Fast Evolution Near H $\beta$ Line

Brian E. McCandless, Grand View Observatory<br>Elkton, MD USA

## Equipment:

Celestron CGE1400 (35cm f/10)
SBIG SGS spectrograph with ST7XME CCD camera
(Operates at f/6.3, 400-800 nm spectral range, grating $600 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{mm}$, dispersion $=0.107$ $\mathrm{nm} /$ pixel, $\mathrm{R}=0.22 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $650 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{R} \sim 3000$ )

## Spectrographic Monitoring of Epsilon Aurigae: 14 Jan 2010

## Summary

Spectrographic observations of epsilon Aurigae are presented for the observing period JD 2,455,156 (Nov 2009) to present. Spectroscopic measurements reveal that the relative depth of Fe ( 652 nm ) to $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ absorption varies, and approaching emission horn on $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ remains prevalent throughout the eclipse ingress.

$\bar{H} \alpha$ region of $\varepsilon$ Aur spectrum: Time progression clockwise from lower-right.

## Brian McCandless, Grand View Observatory (continued)



TiO ( $\gamma$ system) region of $\varepsilon$ Aur spectrum: Time progression clockwise from lower-right.

François Teyssier, Yogurt pot observatory, Rouen, France
Equipment: Lhires III Low Resolution, 150 line/mm, CCD Starlight SXV-H9
Spectra line profiles range $4,700 \AA$ to $7,100 \AA$.

## Epsilon Aurigae

18 November 2009


27 November 2009


François Teyssier (continued)

## 12 December 2009



## 20 December 2009



## INTERFEROMETRY REPORT Dr. Robert Stencel, University of Denver Astronomy

Since Newsletter 15, we have been fortunate to obtain a pair of near-infrared imaging data sets at the Mt. Wilson CHARA array with milli-arcsecond resolution, that clearly show the disk ingress across the F star. While these results are presently under review for journal publication, the details cannot be shared now, but will be provided hopefully in the next newsletter. Similarly, optical region spectralinterferometric data were obtained by colleagues during November that hold exciting potential as well, but their data reduction process is not completed. That said, there is every reason to obtain additional interferometric observations during this eclipse. Unfortunately, Mt.Wilson has endured heavy rains that caused road-damaging mudslides at the end of 2009, compromising observatory programs for the foreseeable. We remain hopeful that at least one more imaging session will be possible this season before mid-eclipse in August. Meanwhile, Brian Kloppenborg and I are reprocessing all the epsilon Aurigae pre-eclipse data available from the Palomar Testbed Interferometer in hopes of adding better definition of the F star itself.


## POLARIMETRY REPORT

## Polarimetry Paper

## Characteristics of an Imaging Polarimeter for the Powell Observatory

Author Block Shannon Hall1, G. Henson ${ }^{2}$<br>1-Whitman College, 2-East Tennessee State University<br>http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010AAS...21544121H


#### Abstract

A dual-beam imaging polarimeter has been built for use on the 14 inch Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope at the ETSU Harry D. Powell Observatory. The polarimeter includes a rotating half-wave plate and a Wollaston prism to separate light into two orthogonal linearly polarized rays. A TEC cooled CCD camera is used to detect the modulated polarized light. We present here measurements of the polarization of polarimetric standard stars. By measuring unpolarized and polarized standard stars we are able to establish the instrumental polarization and the efficiency of the instrument. The polarimeter will initially be used as a dedicated instrument in an ongoing project to monitor the eclipsing binary star, Epsilon Aurigae.

This project was funded by a partnership between the National Science Foundation (NSF ASTo552798), Research Experience for Undergraduates (REU), and the Department of Defense (DoD) ASSURE (Awards to Stimulate and Support Undergraduate Research Experiences) programs.


## From Dr. Bob



Dr. Robert E. Stencel, Co- Editor
University of Denver Astronomy Program
rstencel@du.edu
Headlines at: www.twitter.com/epsilon_Aurigae
Totality has arrived, give or take the early uncertainty of the exact time for second contact. A glance at the night sky shows epsilon looking pale even in comparison to zeta!

Because of the exceptional coverage of ingress by you, our observers, it will be possible for the first time to precisely estimate the relative contribution of those out of eclipse (OOE) light variations, at a much wider set of wavelengths than ever before.

Jeff's UBV work demonstrates how dominant OOE variations are at U-B and B-V colors, and Brian's McCandless clearly shows that these are less pronounced at the longer wavelengths (JH).

Because of recent work by Don Hoard, Steve Howell and myself (Astrophysical Journal, submitted), we can now put these wavelength differences in a firmer context, as illustrated here. This shows the relative contributions to light from the F star, indications of a B star companion in the UV and the signature of the cold disk in the infrared. Note that much of the non-optical data come from spacecraft (FUSE, HST and IUE in the UV; Spitzer in the IR) and are pre-eclipse.

Thus, OOE are likely associated with the B star and/or interaction of the B star with the F star. Spectroscopic variations reported by Robin Leadbeater and others are defining disk characteristics in new ways.

The spectral coverage, including $\mathrm{H} \alpha$, by all the observers reporting in this newsletter is unprecedented, and will be key in terms of making sense of new observations being generated by the photometry and with interferometers (see Interferometry report). As we approach mid-eclipse, a change from redshifted to blueshifted components is anticipated.

The polarimetry effort by Gary Henson and his students is unique so far, and we hope will provide important evidence about the eclipse geometry. The multi-spectral ensemble illustrated here will provide an important template for comparison with eclipse data now being generated.
€ Aurigae from the Far-UV to the Mid-IR
Hoard, Howell and Stencel, 2010 Astrophysical Journal - submitted.


# Interesting Papers 

Epsilon Aurigae -Two Year Totality Transpiring (Poster Paper)<br>by Brian Kloppenborg, Robert Stencel, Jeffrey Hopkins


#### Abstract

The 27 year period eclipsing binary, epsilon Aurigae, exhibits the hallmarks of a classical Algol system, except that the companion to the F supergiant primary star is surprisingly under-luminous for its mass. Eclipse ingress appears to have begun shortly after the predicted time in August 2009, near JD 2,455,065. At the University of Denver, we have focused on near-infrared interferometry, spectroscopy, and photometry with the superior instrumentation available today, compared to that of the 1983 eclipse. Previously obtained interferometry indicates that the source is asymmetric (Stencel, et. al. 2009 APLJ )and initial CHARA+MIRC closure-phase imaging shows hints of resolved structures. In parallel, we have pursued SPEX near-IR spectra at NASA IRTF in order to confirm whether CO molecules only seen during the second half of the 1983 eclipse will reappear on schedule. Additionally, we have obtained J and H band photometry using an Optec SSP-4 photometer with a newly written control and analysis suite. Our goal is to refine daytime photometric methods in order to provide coverage of the anticipated mid-eclipse brightening during summer 2010, from our highaltitude observatory atop Mt. Evans, Colorado. Also, many parallel observations are ongoing as part of the epsilon Aurigae international campaign. In this report, we describe the progress of the eclipse and ongoing observations. We invite interested parties to get involved with the campaign for coverage of the 2009-2011 eclipse via the campaign web sites.


See: http://www.hposot.com/EAuro9/EAUR\%2Opdfs/EAURAASJan1o.pdf

# Epsilon Aurigae: An improved spectroscopic orbital solution 

 Stefanik et al. http://lanl.arxiv.org/abs/1001.5011(Submitted on 27 Jan 2010)


#### Abstract

A rare eclipse of the mysterious object Epsilon Aurigae will occur in 2009-2011. We report an updated single-lined spectroscopic solution for the orbit of the primary star based on 20 years of monitoring at the CfA, combined with historical velocity observations dating back to 1897. There are 518 new CfA observations obtained between 1989 and 2009. Two solutions are presented. One uses the velocities outside the eclipse phases together with mid-times of previous eclipses, from photometry dating back to 1842 , which provide the strongest constraint on the ephemeris. This yields a period of $9896.0+/-$ 1.6 days ( $27.0938+/-0.0044$ years) with a velocity semi-amplitude of $13.84+/-0.23 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ and an eccentricity of $0.227+/-0.011$. The middle of the current on-going eclipse predicted by this combined fit is JD $2,455,413.8+/-4.8$, corresponding to 2010 August 5 . If we use only the radial velocities, we find that the predicted middle of the current eclipse is nine months earlier. This would imply that the gravitating companion is not the same as the eclipsing object. Alternatively, the purely spectroscopic solution may be biased by perturbations in the velocities due to the short-period oscillations of the supergiant.


# BOOK Epsilon Aurigae A Mysterious Star System 

by

## Hopkins and Stencel

This is a 287 page soft cover book covering the history of epsilon Aurigae and the observations both in and out-of-eclipse as well as the different techniques used.

Note: We only have a handful of copies left. While we plan to provide a second addition after the eclipse, there will be no second printing of the first edition. This is a last chance to get a first edition copy of the book.

For more information<br>http://www.hposoft.com/EAuro9/Book.html<br>\$29.95 + S\&H

Anyone wishing to contribute to the Newsletter, is most welcome. Please send contributions to me at phxjeff@hposoft.com.

Anyone desiring not to receive the Newsletter announcements, please e-mail me and I will remove your name from the mailing list.

Clear Skies!
Jeff
Hopkins Phoenix Observatory
(Counting Photons)
7812 West Clayton Drive
Phoenix, Arizona 85033 USA
phxjeff@hposoft.com

